

Graduate Resource Center and Graduate Student Funding Initiative 2012 Student Survey Report

Prepared by:

Erin R. Coleman, B.A. Institute for Social Research, University of New Mexico

Prepared for:

Graduate Resource Center and the Graduate Student Funding Initiative, University of New Mexico

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO

JUNE 2013

INTRODUCTION

This report provides the results of the 2012 survey of the Graduate Resource Center (GRC) and the Graduate Student Funding Initiative (GSFI) participants and Office of Graduate Studies (OGS) students who did not participate in any GRC/GSFI services. Non-GRC/GSFI graduate students were included in the study in order to compare graduates who use the services offered from the GRC/GSFI to graduate students who did not use GRC/GSFI services to document any differences between these two groups of students. The survey was sent to 1,037 graduate students who were enrolled at UNM in Fall 2012 and who had attended at least one GRC/GSFI service since Fall 2011 and to 4,852 graduate students who were registered in Fall 2012 who had never participated in a GRC/GSFI service.

There were 269 respondents who completed the GRC/GSFI survey and 787 respondents who completed the OGS survey for a total of 1,056 respondents. There was a 26% response rate for the GRC/GSFI respondents and a 16% response rate for the non-GRC/GSFI graduate population. These response rates are not ideal however; they are on par with last year's response rate and are consistent with some research done on survey response rates. In a study by Porter and Umbach (2006), the authors found that public research universities have lower survey response rates compared to smaller, private and more rural colleges. They also found that students who are more engaged in academia have higher response rates for surveys (Porter and Umbach, 2006). The higher response rate of the GRC/GSFI survey respondents may indicate that students who have used GRC/GSFI services may have more interest in taking the survey because they have a connection with the GRC/GSFI and are familiar with the services offered. The survey was released on November 15, 2012 and was closed on December 21, 2013. An incentive in the form of a drawing of one \$20 UNM Bookstore gift certificate for every 20 students was offered for completing the survey.

ANALYSIS

Section One: Demographics

Section One reports demographic information, school enrollment, and graduate school status for the two groups of graduate students. This information is useful for describing the study sample and making some general comparisons between the two study groups. The information reported in Table 1 (gender, race/ethnicity, age) is similar with some slight differences across the two surveys.

A slightly larger percent of the OGS survey respondents (33.2%) were part-time students compared to the GRC/GSFI respondents (26.4%) and the total graduate student population (24.1%). There were also more OGS survey who were female (68.9%) compared to the GRC/GSFI survey respondents (51.9%) and the general graduate student population (56.1%).

There were larger percents of GRC/GSFI respondents who reported they were Asian or Pacific Islanders (10.7%), African-American (3.4%), or Multi-racial (5.4%), compared to OGS survey respondents and the general graduate student population.

The average age of the GRC/GSFI survey respondents (36.9) was greater than the OGS sample (34.7) and the general graduate student population average age (29.1) by almost 8 years. GRC/GSFI respondents self-reported being enrolled in Arts and Sciences (45.6%) at a much higher rate than OGS survey respondents (23.4%) and the general graduate student population (18.2%). There were also more GRC/GSFI survey respondents who reported they were enrolled in Education (24.9%) compared to OGS survey respondents (20.5%) and the general graduate student population (18.2%). Together Arts and Sciences and Education accounted for 70.5% of all GRC/GSFI survey respondents. Fewer GRC/GSFI survey respondents reported they were enrolled in a professional school (i.e. Pharmacy, Nursing, Law, and Medical).

Table 1- Comparing GRC/GSFI Participants to Graduate Population

	GRC/GSFI Survey	OGS Survey	Graduate
	Respondents	Respondents	Student
	_	_	Population (Fall
			2012)*
Pattern of Enrollment			•
Full Time	73.6%	66.8%	75.9%
Part Time	26.4%	33.2%	24.1%
Gender			
Female	51.9%	68.9%	56.1%
Male	48.1%	31.1%	43.9%
Race			
American Indian	3.9%	2.5%	4.1%
Asian or Pacific Islander	10.7%	7.3%	3.8%
African American/Black	3.4%	2%	2%
Hispanic	27.3%	25.6%	26.8%
Multi-racial	5.4%	0.7%	1.7%
Other	4.9%	2.8%	10%
White	44.4%	59.2%	51.6%
College Enrolled In			•
Anderson School of Mgmt.	3.8%	13.9%	10.7%
Architecture & Planning	3.1%	4.4%	3.4%
Arts & Sciences	45.6%	23.4%	22.1%
Education	24.9%	20.5%	18.2%
Engineering	8.4%	9.4%	11%
Fine Arts	1.1%	3%	2.9%
Interdisciplinary	1.5%	3.7%	N/A
Law	0%	2.5%	5.5%
Medicine	1.5%	4.6%	4.2%
Nursing	1.9%	4.2%	2.8%
Pharmacy	1.1%	4.8%	0.2%
PharmD	0%	0%	5.5%
Public Administration	6.1%	5.1%	3.6%
University College	0%	0.5%	0.7%
Total Students	269	787	6,262

Average Age	36.9	34.7	29.1
-------------	------	------	------

^{*}Excludes Non-Degree students

Table 2 further reports demographic information and graduate school information. This table reports citizenship, living arrangements (with or without partner or spouse), graduate program status, employment status, and whether the respondent lives with dependent children. Most of the differences in these populations were slight, including living arrangements and dependent children. The large majority of respondents from both groups do not live with dependent children and more than half of the respondents in each survey reported living with a partner or spouse.

Almost 18% of the GRC/GSFI respondents were not U.S. citizens compared to 7.8% of the OGS respondents and 5.8% of the GRC/GSFI survey respondents reported they were permanent residents of the U.S. compared to 2.5% of the OGS survey respondents. A larger percent of the OGS survey respondents (40.7%) reported they were employed full-time compared to GRC/GSFI survey respondents (33.7%).

Table 2- Select Demographics of Survey Respondents

	GRC/GS	FI Survey	OGS Survey		
Citizenship Status	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Non-U.S. Citizen (citizen of another	37	17.9%	48	7.8%	
country)					
Permanent Resident of U.S.	12	5.8%	15	2.5%	
U.S. Citizen	158	76.3%	549	89.7%	
MISSING	62	_	175	-	
Living Arrangements					
Spouse or Partner	112	53.8%	360	58.3%	
Single	96	46.2%	257	41.7%	
MISSING	61	-	170	-	
Dependent Children					
None	222	82.5%	623	79.2%	
1	22	8.2%	72	9.1%	
2	18	6.7%	73	9.3%	
3	6	2.2%	12	1.5%	
4+	1	0.4%	7	0.8%	
Employment Status					
Full Time	70	33.7%	251	40.7%	
Part Time	108	51.9%	270	43.8%	
Unemployed	30	14.4%	95	15.4%	
Number of Survey Respondents	2	69	7	87	

Section Two: Motivation to Finish Degree

Table 3 reports survey respondents' assessment of their motivation to finish their degree. In this table, the number of respondents and the average answer is given for each question. The questions were rated on a Likert Scale ranging from 1 to 7, with 1 representing 'strongly disagree' and 7 representing 'strongly agree'. This scale is used throughout the survey and this report. In the actual survey, there were seven responses one could answer. In the report,

however, we combined 2 with 3 and 5 with 6, with 3 representing 'somewhat disagree' and 5 representing 'somewhat agree'. We did this to save space to cut down on redundancy.

For both surveys, respondents reported being highly motivated to finish their degree. Both the OGS survey and the GRC/GSFI survey have an average answer of 6.3 for this question indicating that most respondents either answered 'agree' or 'strongly agree' to this question. Furthermore, respondents to both surveys indicated that they are very confident that they will finish their degree, with an average of 6.7 for the GRC/GSFI survey and an average of 6.6 for the OGS survey. As for factors that may affect degree completion, such as work hours, work commitments, and family commitments, there was not a large difference between the two groups in their average answers.

Table 3- Respondents' Assessment of Motivation to Finish Degree

	GRC/GSI	FI Survey	OGS	Survey	
	Count	Average	Count	Average	Mean Difference
Motivated to Finish	203	6.3	610	6.3	
Will Finish Degree	200	6.7	605	6.6	
Own Financial Responsibility	197	5.1	587	5.2	
Working Hours Affect Progress	200	5.3	602	5	
Work Commitments Affect Progress	199	4.3	605	4.3	
Family Commitments Affect School Work	201	4	606	4	
My family supports my decision to go to grad school	196	5.9	610	6	
My department has encouraged me to finish my degree	201	5.8	603	5.6	
My organizational skills have been helpful in getting thru my degree	201	5.7	611	5.8	
I have a strong support network	202	5.5	607	5.5	
I am able to juggle classes and activities with work, family, and other obligations	203	5.3	607	5.3	
Graduate school is stressful	203	6.1	612	5.9	*
It will take me longer to complete grad school than I expected	193	5	589	4.3	***
My department has provided helpful guidance	201	5.1	597	4.9	
Mentoring/advising has contributed to my progress	195	5.1	595	4.8	*
My committee has been helpful	164	5.5	657	5.2	**

^{*} *p* < .05, ** *p* < .01, *** *p* < .001

Section Three: GRC/GSFI Use of Services, and Assessment of Services

Table 4 and Table 5 report the frequency of services used by students, and the opinions they have of their experiences with the GRC and the GSFI. In addition, Table 6 examines the OGS sample's opinions and knowledge of the services offered by the GRC and GSFI.

Table 4- GRC/GSFI Use of Services (GRC/GSFI Survey Only)

	Count	Percent
Semester of First Use of GRC/GSFI Services		
Summer 2011 or Before	66	29%
Fall 2011	44	19.4%
Spring 2012	48	21.1%
Summer 2012	11	4.8%
Fall 2012	58	25.6%
Services Used		
One-on-One Writing Consultations	53	19.7%
One-on-One Consultations	10	3.7%
Thesis/Dissertation Boot Camps	40	14.9%
Online Writing Lab	34	12.6%
Research Design, Data Analysis, or Stats/Methods Help	20	7.4%
Thesis/Dissertation Writing and Support Groups	21	7.8%
Weekly Workshops on Academic and Professional Topics	27	10%
Presentation Skills and Leadership Development	20	7.4%
Language Learning	3	1.1%
Not Sure of All the Available Services	23	8.6%
Other Services	22	8.2%
Plan to Obtain or Already Obtained Certificate		
Grant Writing	79	29.4%
PI Eligibility	38	14.1%
Research Ethics	58	21.6%
Research Compliance	46	17.1%

More students utilized the Fall and Spring workshops and attendance fell quite a bit in the summer. This is not surprising considering many students travel or work full-time in the summer. Additionally, the Summer semester is much shorter than the Fall and Spring semesters and fewer workshops are offered. The One-on-One Writing Consultations have the highest attendance with 19.7% of respondents making use of this service. The Thesis/Dissertation Boot Camps were also popular, accounting for 14.9% of the reported services. The least utilized service is the Language Learning service. Only 1.1% of respondents reported using this service. Many respondents indicated they were interested in obtaining a certificate offered by the GSFI, or that they already have obtained a certificate from the GSFI. The most popular certificate was in Grant Writing with 29.5% reporting their interest in this certificate. Next was the Research Ethics certificate, followed by Research Compliance, and then PI Eligibility.

Most respondents reported having high opinions of the GRC and GSFI. Table 5 reports the average for questions asked about the GRC/GSFI, and it also shows the percentages of respondents by category. In fact, the average response for the question, 'GRC/GSFI services have been helpful to you?' was 5.7 for the GRC and 5.5 for the GSFI, signifying that respondents agree with this statement. Moreover, respondents strongly agreed on the statements that GRC and GSFI staff have been helpful with an average response of 6 for the GRC staff and an average of 5.8 for the GSFI staff. Respondents had almost identical opinions of the GRC and GSFI aiding in professional development.

Table 5- Opinions of GRC/GSFI

Table 3- Opinions of							
Opinions of GRC/O	GSFI Wo	rkshops					
	Count	Average	Strongly	Disagree/	Neither Agree	Agree/	Strongly
			Disagree	Somewhat	nor Disagree	Somewhat	Agree
				Disagree	C	Agree)
GRC workshops have	156	5.7	3.8%	5.1%	6.4%	49.4%	35.3%
been helpful							
GSFI workshops have	85	5.5	4.7%	8.2%	8.2%	48.3%	30.6%
been helpful							
GRC Staff is Helpful	153	6	2%	2.7%	7.2%	43.2%	45.1%
GSFI Staff is Helpful	83	5.8	3.6%	1.2%	15.7%	34.9%	44.6%
GRC Workshops Will	147	5.2	5.4%	8.1%	19%	38.1%	29.3%
Help Me Finish							
School							
GSFI Workshops Will	78	4.7	5.1%	14.1%	30.8%	30.8%	19.2%
Help Me Finish							
School							
GRC Workshops	152	5.4	3.9%	5.3%	18.4%	45.4%	27%
Have Helped With							
Professional							
Development							
GSFI Workshops	83	5.4	3.6%	8.4%	14.5%	45.8%	27.7%
Have Helped With							
Professional							
Development							

As Table 6 indicates, the OGS sample is pretty split with their familiarity and consideration of attending GRC and GSFI services. The most common reason why respondents reported not attending was they had time conflicts with either work or class. In previous surveys, graduate students have mentioned possibly offering sessions at various times so that they could make use of the services provided.

Table 6- Knowledge of GRC/GSFI from OGS Sample

3	Count	Percent
Familiar With GRC/GSFI Services	1	
Yes	342	46.8%
No	388	53.2%
Considered Attending Workshops		
Yes	180	52.9%
No	160	47.1%
Top 3 Reasons For Not Attending		
Class/Work Conflict	68	48.9%
No Time/Too Busy	30	21.6%
Not Applicable or Not Ready	18	21.6%

Section Four: Respondents Self-Reported Reason for Attending Graduate School

Respondents were asked to what extent they agreed with statements about why they attend graduate school. Table 7 reports the top five reasons respondents reported for attending graduate school. Although GRC/GSFI students tended to agree more with statements emphasizing the pleasure of the learning process, they also strongly agreed with the statement about a graduate education helping to prepare them for a career. The OGS sample had one more statement than the GRC/GSFI relating to career statements. GRC/GSFI survey respondents tended to agree more strongly with statements than OGS survey respondents.

Table 7- Reasons for Attending Graduate School

GRC/GSFI	Count	Average
Because I experience pleasure and satisfaction while learning new things	194	6.5
Because I think that a graduate education will help me better prepare for the career I have chosen	219	6.4
For the pleasure that I experience in broadening my knowledge about subjects which appeal to me	215	6.1
Because my studies allow me to continue to learn about many things that interest me	212	6.1
For the pleasure I experience when I discover new things	217	6.0
OGS		
Because I think that a graduate education will help me better prepare for the career I have chosen	632	6.4
Because I experience pleasure and satisfaction while learning new things	635	6.1
Because eventually it will enable me to enter the job market in a field that I like	629	5.9
Because my studies allow me to continue to learn about many things that interest me	624	5.9
For the pleasure that I experience in broadening my knowledge about subjects which appeal to me	624	5.8

CONCLUSION

A total of 1,056 graduate students responded to the survey. There were 269 respondents for the GRC/GSFI survey and 787 respondents for the OGS survey. The OGS survey was used as a comparison group to ascertain whether there were differences between students who used GRC/GSFI services and those who do not. In addition, the results also gave us information about the graduate population who does not use these services, such as their opinion of the GRC/GSFI and whether they are aware of the services and workshops. The response rates were low, but they were on par with last year's results and are representative of the research done on computer-based survey response rates.

There was an overrepresentation of respondents identifying as Asian or Pacific Islander for both surveys, and a slight overrepresentation of African Americans and Multi-racial respondents in the GRC/GSFI survey. A majority of respondents were female. Students enrolled in the College of Arts & Sciences are over-represented in the GRC/GSFI survey and the professional schools are under-represented in the GRC/GSFI compared to their representation in the general graduate student population. The average age of all graduate students was 6 years younger than the average graduate student in the OGS survey and 8 years younger than the average graduate student in the GRC/GSFI survey. Although the demographic information between the two groups is not markedly different, there are differences in the race/ethnicity categories and furthermore, the GRC/GSFI survey had larger percentages of survey respondents identifying as non-U.S. citizens and permanent residents of the U.S. compared to the the OGS sample.

Both groups are motivated to finish their degrees and are confident that they will finish their graduate program and they both agree that it is their own responsibility to pay for their education. Both groups agree somewhat that the number of hours they work affects their progress. Both groups tend to have an average answer around 4 on the Likert scale on statements pertaining to work commitments and family commitments affecting school progress indicating that they neither agree nor disagree with these statements.

APPENDIX: Frequency Tables

Demographics

	GRC/GS	FI Survey	OGS	Survey
Gender	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Female	107	51.9%	420	68.9%
Male	99	48.1%	190	31.1%
Age				
26 or below	46	23.1%	162	27.1%
27-30	41	20.6%	130	21.7%
31-35	34	17.1%	107	17.9%
36-45	35	17.6%	85	14.2%
46+	43	21.6%	94	15.7%
Education Completed				
High School	-	-	5	0.7%
Associates	-	-	1	0.1%
BA	114	43.2%	459	62.4%
MA	101	38.3%	217	29.5%
JD	2	0.8%	6	0.8%
ABD	38	14.4%	27	3.7%
PhD	7	2.7%	21	2.9%
Race				
American Indian	8	3.9%	15	2.5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	22	10.7%	44	7.3%
Black	7	3.4%	12	2%
Hispanic	56	27.3%	155	25.6%
Multi-racial	11	5.4%	4	0.7%
Other	10	4.9%	17	2.8%
White	91	44.4%	358	59.2%
Citizenship Status				
Non-U.S. citizen (citizen of another country)	37	17.9%	48	7.8%
Permanent Resident of U.S.	12	5.8%	15	2.5%
U.S. citizen	158	76.3%	549	89.7%
Living with Partner				
Yes	112	53.8%	360	58.3%
No	96	46.2%	257	41.7%
Dependent Children				
0	222	82.5%	623	79.2%
1	22	8.2%	72	9.1%
2	18	6.7%	73	9.3%
3	6	2.2%	12	1.5%
4+	1	0.4%	7	0.8%

Current Education Information

	GRC/GS	FI Survey	OGS S	Survey
Completing Degree	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
MA	N/A	N/A	444	60.3%
PhD	N/A	N/A	256	34.8%
Misc: N/A, JD, Enhance, BA, Non-Degree,	N/A	N/A	36	4.9%
Applying				
Program Start Date				
2005 or Before	21	7.9%	15	2%
2006	10	3.8%	14	1.9%
2007	15	5.7%	18	2.4%
2008	18	6.8%	41	5.6%
2009	30	11.3%	65	8.8%
2010	46	17.4%	140	19%
2011	44	16.6%	188	25.5%
2012	79	29.8%	251	34.1%
2013	2	0.8%	4	0.5%
Status in Program				
Taking Classes	139	55.4%	2*	0.4%
Completed Classes	112	44.6%	503*	99.6%
Completed Master's Degree	112	48.7%	168	29.2%
Completed Comps	74	38.7%	165	21%
Written Prospectus but Have Not Defended	65	32.7%	120	27.8%
Defended Prospectus	35	19.2%	82	22.2%
ABD	56	36.4%	99	31.7%
Anticipated Completion Year				
2012	19	7.5%	66	8.9%
2013	100	39.5%	287	38.6%
2014	65	25.7%	211	28.4%
2015	33	13%	91	12.2%
2016	24	9.5%	36	4.8%
2017	9	3.6%	20	2.7%
2018+	3	1.2%	19	2.6%
Pattern of Enrollment				
Full Time	187	73.6%	492	66.8%
Part Time	67	26.4%	245	33.2%
Taken a Semester Off (excluding Summer)				
Yes	20	8.5%	N/A	N/A
No	215	91.5%	N/A	N/A

Employment

	GRC/GS	FI Survey	OGS	Survey
Employment Status	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Full-time (40hrs./week)	70	26%	251	40.7%
Part-time	108	51.9%	270	43.8%
Unemployed	30	14.4%	95	15.4%
Principally Employed by UNM				
Yes	135	75.8%	266	51.1%
No	43	24.2%	255	48.9%
Granted a GA/TA/RA				
Yes	90	50.8%	331	63.7%
No	87	49.2%	189	36.3%
Job Category				
GA/TA/RA	94	54.7%	139	27.9%
Instructor	6	3.5%	8	1.6%
Other	72	41.9%	351	70.5%
Teaching Own Class at UNM				
Yes	23	12.9%	480	93%
No	155	87.1%	36	7%
Total Survey Respondents	2	.69	7	87

Reason for Selecting Current Program

	GRC/GS	GRC/GSFI Survey		Survey
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Faculty/Program Reputation	133	49.4%	309	39.3%
University Reputation	43	16%	149	18.9%
Financial Support	91	33.8%	233	29.6%
Program Requirements	49	18.2%	147	18.7%
Course Offerings/Curricula	87	32.3%	243	30.9%
Job Placement	34	12.6%	167	21.2%
Location/Region	119	44.2%	357	45.4%
Other Reasons	36	13.4%	94	11.9%

GRC/GSFI Services and Use Information

OKC/OSI I Services and Ose information	GRC/GSFI Survey			
Semester of First Use of GRC/GSFI Services	Count	Percent		
Summer of 2011 or Before	66	29.1%		
Fall 2011	44	16.4%		
Spring 2012	48	17.8%		
Summer 2012	11	4.1%		
Fall 2012	58	21.6%		
Number of GRC Services Used to Date				
0	114	42.4%		
1	55	20.4%		
2	32	11.9%		
3	26	9.7%		
4+	42	15.6%		
Number of GSFI Services Used to Date				
0	190	70.6%		
1	26	9.7%		
2	10	3.7%		
3	10	3.7%		
4+	33	12.3%		
Services Used				
GSFI Workshops	86	32%		
GRC Workshops	126	46.8%		
1 on 1 Writing Consultations	53	19.7%		
1 on 1 Consultations	10	3.7%		
Thesis/Dissertation Boot Camps	40	14.9%		
Online Writing Lab	34	12.6%		
Research Design, Data Analysis, Stats Help	20	7.4%		
Thesis/Dissertation Writing and Support Groups	21	7.8%		
Weekly Workshops on Academic and Professional Topics	27	10%		
Presentation Skills and Leadership Development	20	7.4%		
Language Learning	3	1.1%		
I am not sure of all the available activities	23	8.6%		
Other Services	22	8.2%		
Plan to Obtain a Certificate				
Already Obtained a Certificate	29	11.7%		
Yes	84	34%		
No	134	54.3%		
Plan to Obtain or Already Obtained Certificate in:				
PI Eligibility	38	14.1%		
Grant Writing	79	29.4%		
Research Ethics	58	21.6%		
Research Compliance	46	17.1%		

Respondents Familiarity of GRC/GSFI Services (OGS Survey Only)

	OGS	Survey
Familiar With GRC/GSFI Services?	Count	Percent
Yes	342	46.8%
No	388	53.2%
Considered Going to GRC/GSFI Workshops?		
Yes	180	52.9%
No	160	47.1%
Why Not Attend?		
No Time/Too Busy	30	21.6%
Class/Work Conflict	68	48.9%
Not Applicable/Not Ready	18	12.9%
Not Useful	5	3.6%
Other Priorities	2	1.4%
Inconvenient/Not in ABQ	12	8.6%
Other	4	2.9%
Why Not Consider Attending?		
No Time/Too Busy	46	30.9%
Class/Work Conflict	18	12.1%
Not Ready	11	7.4%
Not Useful, Not Applicable, or Not Interested	51	34.2%
Inconvenient/Not in ABQ	13	8.7%
Not Enough Information	6	4%
Other	4	2.7%
Are You Interested in Learning More About Services?	•	2.770
Would Like More Information	46	14.4%
Yes	180	56.3%
No	94	29.4%
Are There Other Services/Training You Desire From UNM?	7.	251170
Yes	184	26.6%
No	215	31.1%
Don't Know	292	42.3%
Desired Training	2,2	12.370
Stats Software/Syntax (SPSS, NVivo, Atlas Ti, SAS, etc.)	39	18.8%
Microsoft (Word/Excel/Ppt.)	6	2.9%
Website Development	5	2.4%
Software (General)	49	23.7%
Methods (Types, Pedagogy, Disciplines)	6	2.9%
Technical Writing	3	1.4%
Speed Reading	3	1.4%
Computers (General)	23	11.1%
Bibliographic/Research Software	14	6.8%
Networking	5	2.4%
Online Sessions	4	1.9%
Applications (School, Jobs, Post-Doc, etc.)	15	7.2%
ESL	2	1%
ESL Emotional/Life Support	5	2.4%
Other (or: Sessions Already Offered by GRC/GSFI)	28	13.5%

Advisor Information

Advisor information				GRC/GSFI Survey		OGS Survey	
Do You Currently Have an	Do You Currently Have an Advisor?				Percent	Count	Percent
Yes					91%	553	79.7%
No		22	9%	141	20.3%		
Did You Have an Advisor	1						
Beginning the Graduate Pr							
Yes				178	83.6%	435	80.4
No				35	16.4%	106	19.6%
Opinions of Advisor							
OGS Survey	N	Average	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Currently Have Advisor I Want	537	5.8	3.9%	2.2%	10.2%	26.4%	46.2%
Satisfied With the Amount of Time Spent With Advisor	540	5.3	6.3%	4.8%	10.2%	24.6%	35.4%
Advisor Has Been Helpful	544	5.8	5.3%	2.4%	6.6%	25.4%	46.3%
r			0.070	20.70	0.070	201170	101070
GRC/GSFI Survey	N	Average	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Currently Have Advisor I Want	217	6	1.8%	3.2%	7.4%	24.4%	54.4%
Satisfied With the Amount of Time Spent With Advisor	216	5.3	4.2%	5.1%	5.6%	25.5%	33.3%
Advisor Has Been Helpful	216	5.9	2.3%	0.9%	4.2%	26.3%	47.7%
Satisfied With the Process by Which I Came to Have Current Advisor	215	5.5	6.5%	5.1%	6.5%	26.5%	40.5%
Manner to Which I Came to Work With Advisor is Typical in My Department	194	5.8	2.1%	2.1%	9.3%	36.6%	35.6%

Cohort and Student Interaction and Support

Question	N	Average	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
OGS Survey			Disagree		Disagice		Agice
There is a Sense of Cohort Solidarity	625	5.2	3%	6.9%	12.8%	28.3%	25.3%
Students Have an Active Role in Program Decisions That Affect Them	595	4.3	8.4%	10.3%	16.5%	21.7%	9.6%
Students Have Little Contact With Each Other	630	3.2	18.4%	23.2%	10.8%	7.9%	4.1%
Experienced Students Mentor New Students	606	4.1	9.1%	15.3%	14.7%	18.5%	8.1%
Students Freely Share Info. With Each Other About Opportunities and Program Advice	629	5.1	3.5%	7.2%	10.5%	28.5%	21.5%
I am Part of a Supportive Student Community	627	4.7	6.9%	11%	14.5%	25%	16.9%
GRC/GSFI Survey	N	Average	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
There is a Sense of Cohort Solidarity	221	5.1	4.5%	8.1%	9.5%	34.8%	20.4%
Students Have an Active Role in Program Decisions That Affect Them	208	4.6	7.7%	10.1%	13%	23.6%	13.9%
Students Have Little Contact With Each Other	220	3.7	11.4%	24.5%	10.5%	11.8%	9.5%
Experienced Students Mentor New Students	216	4.2	12.5%	11.1%	13.4%	16.7%	11.1%
Students Freely Share Info. With Each Other About Opportunities and Program Advice	223	5.3	4%	4.5%	11.7%	27.8%	25.6%
I am Part of a Supportive Student Community	223	4.6	8.1%	10.3%	16.6%	18.8%	18.4%

Opinions of Faculty

Question	N	Average	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly
OGS Survey			Disagree		Disagree		Agree
Faculty Care About Students	633	5.5	3.3%	2.8%	7.9%	34.4%	28%
Faculty Cares About Advising Students	617	5.2	3.4%	5.3%	11.3%	33.7%	20.7%
Faculty is Accessible	632	5.5	1.9%	3.5%	5.4%	39.6%	23.3%
Faculty Socializes With Students	604	5	3.6%	6.8%	13.6%	29.5%	15.2%
Faculty is Generous With Their Time	623	5.3	4.2%	3%	10%	34.3%	21.3%
GRC/GSFI Survey	N	Average	Strongly	Disagree	Neither Agree nor	Agree	Strongly
•			Disagree		Disagree		Agree
Faculty Care About Students	225	5.5	3.6%	2.7%	8%	28%	33.3%
Faculty Cares About Advising Students	226	5.3	4%	4%	8.4%	31.4%	25.7%
Faculty is Accessible	228	5.5	3.9%	0.9%	5.7%	33.8%	26.3%
Faculty Socializes With Students	221	5.1	3.6%	7.2%	8.1%	28.1%	21.3%
Faculty is Generous With Their Time	222	5.2	3.6%	5.9%	11.7%	30.2%	21.2%

Graduate and Department Information

Question	N	Average	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
OGS Survey							
Satisfied With Choice of Graduate Program	633	5.7	1.9%	2.7%	5.7%	40.4%	31.8%
My Department Advocates for Me	522	5.1	4.4%	3.3%	24.3%	25.9%	23.9%
Department Gives Regular Feedback on My Progress	614	4.6	6.4%	10.4%	16.1%	23.8%	15.6%
Satisfied With University	635	5.5	3.1%	3.1%	10.1%	38.7%	26.9%
My Program Encourages Me to Complete My Degree	627	5.6	3.5%	3%	10.7%	34.8%	31.3%
GRC/GSFI Survey	N	Average	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Satisfied With Choice of Graduate Program	226	5.9	1.3%	2.2%	2.7%	33.6%	41.6%
My Department Advocates for Me	200	5.2	5.5%	5%	17.5%	27%	25%
Department Gives Regular Feedback on My Progress	217	4.8	6%	8.8%	12%	25.8%	18.4%
Satisfied With University	229	5.7	1.3%	1.7%	8.3%	41%	31.4%
My Program Encourages Me to Complete My Degree	222	5.7	1.8%	2.7%	8.1%	31.5%	36.5%

Department as Reported by Respondent

Department as Reported by Respondent Department Affiliation	GRC/GS	FI Survey	OGS	Survey
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Accounting	-	-	5	0.7%
American Studies	5	1.9%	2	0.3%
Anderson School of Management	3	1.1%	24	3.3%
Anthropology	10	3.8%	15	2.1%
Architecture & Planning	-	-	17	2.4%
Architecture & Planning and Public Administration	1	0.4%	-	-
Art & Art History	1	0.4%	8	1.1%
Biochemistry & Molecular Biology	1	0.4%	1	0.1%
Biology	9	3.4%	21	2.9%
Biology & Computer Science	_	-	1	0.1%
Biomedical Engineering	_	_	3	0.4%
Biomedical Sciences	1	0.4%	5	0.7%
Business Administration	2	0.8%	47	6.5%
Business and Technology	-	-	1	0.1%
Cell Biology and Physiology	-	-	2	0.3%
Chemical & Nuclear Engineering	4	1.5%	11	1.5%
Chemistry	2	0.8%	7	1%
Chemistry & Chemical Biology	2	0.8%	2	0.3%
Civil Engineering	5	1.9%	13	1.8%
Communications & Journalism	8	3.1%	3	0.4%
Community & Regional Planning	5	1.9%	11	1.5%
Computer Science	-	-	9	1.3%
Design	-	-	1	0.1%
Dental Medicine	1	0.4%	-	-
Earth & Planetary Sciences	3	1.1%	8	1.1%
Economics	6	2.3%	7	1%
Education	18	6.9%	66	9.2%
Education and Library Sciences	1	0.4%	-	-
Educational Leadership & Organizational Learning	2	0.8%	7	1%
Educational Specialties	2	0.8%	9	1.3%
Electrical and Computer Engineering	8	3.1%	24	3.3%
Engineering	3	1.1%	3	0.4%
English	5	1.9%	19	2.6%
Family & Community Medicine	-	-	1	0.1%
Foreign Languages & Literatures	2	0.8%	4	0.6%
Geography	2	0.8%	3	0.4%
Health Communication	1	0.4%	-	-
Health, Exercise, and Sport Sciences	7	2.7%	12	1.7%
History	5	1.9%	8	1.1%
Individual, Family, and Community Education	11	4.2%	19	2.6%
Information Assurance	-	-	1	0.1%
Landscape Architecture	3	1.1%	4	0.6%
Language, Literacy, & Sociocultural Studies	9	3.4%	15	2.1%

Latin American Studies	3	1.1%	3	0.4%
Latin American Studies and Community & Regional	2	0.8%	1	0.1%
Planning				
Latin American Studies and Language, Literacy &	-	-	1	0.1%
Sociocultural Studies				
Law	_	-	18	2.5%
Law and Business	_	-	1	0.1%
Law and Public Administration	_	-	1	0.1%
Library Sciences	1	0.4%	-	-
Linguistics	10	3.8%	3	0.4%
Management	5	1.9%	24	3.3%
Mathematics & Statistics	1	0.4%	13	1.8%
Mechanical Engineering	2	0.8%	7	1%
Medicine	-	-	5	0.7%
Molecular Genetics & Microbiology	-	-	3	0.4%
Music	2	0.8%	12	1.7%
Nanoscience and Microsystems	2	0.8%	10	1.4%
Neuroscience	-	-	2	0.3%
Nursing	5	1.9%	25	3.5%
Nutrition	-	-	2	0.3%
Nutrition and Public Health	-	-	1	0.1%
Obstetrics and Gynecology	1	0.4%	-	-
Occupational Therapy	1	0.4%	8	1.1%
Optical Science and Engineering	_	-	1	0.1%
Organizational Learning and Instructional Technology	12	4.6%	20	2.8%
Pathology	_	-	2	0.3%
Pediatrics	_	-	2	0.3%
Pharmacy	3	1.1%	32	4.4%
Philosophy	1	0.4%	5	0.7%
Physical Therapy	_	-	8	1.1%
Physics	4	1.5%	17	2.4%
Physics and Astronomy	1	0.4%	2	0.3%
Physics and Engineering	-	-	1	0.1%
Political Science	7	2.7%	2	0.3%
Psychiatry	1	0.4%	1	0.1%
Psychology	14	5.4%	11	1.5%
Public Administration	15	5.7%	37	5.1%
Pub. Admin & Community and Regional Planning	1	0.4%	-	-
Public Health	1	0.4%	6	0.8%
Sociology	9	3.4%	7	1%
Spanish and Portuguese	6	2.3%	5	0.7%
Speech and Hearing	1	0.4%	1	0.1%
Teacher Education	2	0.8%	-	-
Theater & Dance	-	-	1	0.1%
TOTAL Respondents	2	261	7	20